

1 **BEFORE THE NEVADA COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL DISCIPLINE**

2 **STATE OF NEVADA**



3  
4  
5 In the Matter of )  
6 THE HONORABLE MARTIN HASTINGS, )  
7 Las Vegas Municipal Court, Dept. 6, )  
8 Clark County, State of Nevada, )  
9 Respondent. )  
10 )

CASE NO. 2017-119-P

11 **ORDER**

12  
13 TO: THE HONORABLE MARTIN HASTINGS, Respondent  
14 WILLIAM B. TERRY, ESQ., Counsel for Respondent  
15 BRIAN HUTCHINS, ESQ., Prosecuting Officer

16 On March 31, 2020, the Commission issued to Respondent an Order To Show Cause Why  
17 Additional Discipline Should Not Be Imposed Against Respondent (“Order To Show Cause”) on the  
18 basis of the Chief Judge Report (“Report”), dated September 11, 2019, submitted to the Commission by  
19 Chief Judge Leung of the Las Vegas Municipal Court. An Order to Show Cause was issued for  
20 Respondent’s alleged failure to comply with the Commission’s Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law  
21 and Imposition of Discipline issued on March 6, 2019, NRS 5.023(2) and the Revised Nevada Code of  
22 Judicial Conduct (“Code”).

23 At the request of the Commission for additional information pursuant to its letter to Chief Judge  
24 Leung dated October 30, 2019, Chief Judge Leung submitted to the Commission a follow-up response  
25 letter, dated December 16, 2019. On April 24, 2020, Respondent submitted to the Commission,  
26 through counsel, his Response to Order To Show Cause.

27 Upon reviewing the foregoing Report and related correspondence received from Respondent  
28 and Chief Judge Leung, it is **HEREBY ORDERED** by unanimous vote of Commissioners Chairman

1 Gary Vause, Vice-Chair Stefanie Humphrey, Joseph Sanford, Karl Armstrong, Esq., Donald L.  
2 Christensen, Esq., the Honorable Thomas Armstrong and the Honorable Mason Simons that no  
3 additional discipline shall be imposed against Respondent.

4 The *additional* issues of concern identified in Chief Judge Leung's Report and follow-up  
5 correspondence are more appropriately addressed by the issuance of additional directives and/or orders  
6 by the Chief Judge or the Las Vegas Municipal Court, not the Commission. The Commission's  
7 constitutional mandate is to protect the public from judicial misconduct, not issue administrative  
8 policies or directives for individual courts throughout Nevada, particularly in the context of a judicial  
9 discipline proceeding.

10 If the Las Vegas Municipal Court or the Chief Judge issues an administrative directive/order  
11 that specifically addresses the additional concerns of Chief Judge Leung and her fellow judicial  
12 colleagues, and Respondent fails to comply, then a new disciplinary complaint may be filed with the  
13 Commission at that time.

14 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED by unanimous vote that the Chairman is authorized to sign this  
15 Order on behalf of all voting Commissioners.

16 DATED this 19<sup>th</sup> day of October, 2020.

17  
18 STATE OF NEVADA  
19 COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL DISCIPLINE  
20 P.O. Box 48  
21 Carson City, NV 89702

22 By:   
23 \_\_\_\_\_  
24 GARY VAUSE  
25 COMMISSION CHAIRMAN  
26  
27  
28

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

1 I hereby certify on this 19<sup>th</sup> day of October, 2020, I transmitted a copy of the foregoing ORDER,  
2 via email and by placing said document in the U.S. Mail, postage prepaid, addressed to:  
3

4 William B. Terry, Esq.  
5 William B. Terry, Chartered Attorney at Law  
6 530 South Seventh Street  
7 Las Vegas, NV 89101-6011  
8 [Info@williamterrylaw.com](mailto:Info@williamterrylaw.com)

9 Brian Hutchins, Esq.  
10 BH Consulting, LLC  
11 P. O. Box 2366  
12 Carson City, NV 89701  
13 [bhconsultingllc@sbcglobal.net](mailto:bhconsultingllc@sbcglobal.net)



---

Valerie Carter, Commission Clerk